Evaluating the Impact of Technology Integration in Teaching and Learning

ABSTRACT
This article reports the impacts of technology integration on teaching and learning from a study that examines the impact of ICT deployment in teaching and learning at a University in Nigeria. The survey data were drawn from 593 respondents (students and lecturers) and the survey instrument employed for both the students and the lecturers is a 64-item questionnaire that was each subdivided into seven parts. The study finds many ICT impacts in teaching and learning such as: learning aid and resourcefulness, comfort with ICT, psychoanalytical and psychosocial aid, task enabler, interdependence with ICT and learning collaboration. It also identifies factors that need to be recognized in ICT impact study settings such as: perception, integration, motivation and challenges.
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INTRODUCTION
This topic is concerned with how the impact of teaching and learning with the various forms of technology can be evaluated to ensure that the goals of technology integration in education are achieved. This should not be confused with evaluating the various forms of technology used in teaching and learning. Greenberg (2004) in Adedokun-Shittu and Shittu (2011) proposed that instead of comparing the effectiveness of varying technologies, efforts should be geared toward determining the optimal combinations of all; that would best produce excellent learning outcomes for a particular audience. He further lamented that most researchers fail to control for essential factors such as prior student knowledge, pedagogical methods techniques, and teachers’ and students’ ability. Thus, this article is focused on discussing some issues critical to evaluating the impact of technology integration in teaching and learning in order to respond to this gap identified by Greenberg. The factors researchers must consider while evaluating ICT impact include: the learning environment, the status of ICT integration in the learning environment, the students’ and teachers’ disposition toward technology, access to technology and training facilities and many others. Several authors (Adedokun-Shittu & Shittu, 2011; Ecclestone, 2008) have reported that researchers often neglect most important factors such as students’ and teachers’ technology proficiencies, pedagogical techniques and the peculiarities of learning environment in impact studies. To exemplify how impact evaluation can be carried out to reflect these factors, this article reports a study conducted by Adedokun-Shittu (2012) on ICT impact on teaching and learning in higher education. The study finds many ICT impacts in teaching and learning such as: learning aid and resourcefulness, comfort with ICT, psychoanalytical and psychosocial aid, task enabler, interdependence with ICT and learning collaboration. It also identifies factors that need to be recognized in ICT impact study settings such as: perception, integration, motivation and challenges.

The Impact Study Outline
The study addressed in this article studied the impact of ICTs on teaching and learning in a higher institution of learning in Nigeria. Nigeria being a developing nation recognizes the relevance of ICTs in national development and particularly in education. Hence the deployment of ICT in Nigerian education generally and specifically in higher institutions has received considerable attention. The formulation of the National Policy on Computer Education in 1988 contained information on application of computers at various levels of the country’s education with issues related to basic objectives, hardware and software requirements (Federal Republic of Nigeria, FRN, 1988, cited in Yusuf, 2005).
line with the recommendations of the National policy on IT, the National Universities Commission (NUC), the government agency responsible for registering and regulating universities has prescribed a minimum level of PC ownership for universities as follows: one to every four students, one PC to every two lecturers below the grade of Lecturer 1, one PC to each Senior Lecturer, and one notebook to a Professor/Reader. Many universities in Nigeria have thus achieved a significant ratio than the prescribed and some have taken steps ahead in building campus-wide area networking and developing e-learning course deliveries. Even though ICT facilities have been deployed in many learning institutions, few or no impact studies have been conducted to determine ICTs effect on teaching and learning outcomes. This is due to the fact that technology integration in higher education in Nigeria is still at a preliminary stage (Adegun, 2007). As such, this study looks into the impacts derived from employing ICTs in teaching and learning in a Nigerian University through a quantitative means.

The survey instrument employed for both the students and the lecturers is a 64-item questionnaire that was each subdivided into seven parts. The first part (demographic variable) is a 14-item question which contains choice and fill in questions. The next two parts covering perception (21 items) and integration (12 items) are based on the five-point Likert scale. Motivation (6 items) and constraints (4 items) are rated on a preference scale (1 - least preferred to 5 – most preferred). The problems (3 items) part of the instrument is rated on a frequency scale (1 – Never and 5 - Always). Constraints and problems were re-coded as challenges. The last part renamed as ICT rate (1 item) carries a value scale (1 – of no value to 5 – extremely valuable). These four scales are run through linear regression using rate of ICT value to teaching and learning (ICT rate) as the dependent variable. Both the students’ and lecturers’ survey instrument display a high reliability (alpha coefficients of .900 and .909) respectively. Linear regression was conducted to see the interaction of the four scales of items (perception, integration, motivation and challenges) with respect to the value of ICT in teaching and learning (ICT rate). All the independent factors significantly and positively interact except integration that has a negative value (-.112). Perception has the highest beta value of .243, while they all have R value of .417, and p <.000. The negative relationship revealed by students and lecturers ICT integration in teaching and learning is a function of the fact that some factors such as access hinder their integration. Thus, ICT impact study cannot be conducted without taking some factors such as access, constraints, problems, and technical issues into consideration. The impacts reported in this study (learning aid and resourcefulness, comfort with ICT, psychoanalytical and psychosocial aid, task enabler, inter-dependence with ICT and learning collaboration) were extracted through factor analysis.

This study is however limited by the quantitative method it employed because rich and more in-depth findings could be gathered if supported by qualitative approach. Likewise evaluation studies are better conducted employing mixed method approach because of its comprehensiveness and in order to achieve valid and well-substantiated conclusions (Cresswell, 2009; Stufflebeam, Harold, & McKee, 2003). Similarly, studies (Centre for Global Development, 2006; Independent Evaluation Group, 2006; World Bank, 2004) strongly recommend mixed method for impact study given the lack of credibility flaw identified against many existing impact studies that focus mainly on quantitative method.

**Impacts of Technology in Teaching and Learning**

Education technology has been confirmed to have great potentials that impact on teaching and learning. It motivates and engages students to learn and helps broaden their skills, helps to simulate the workplace experiences thereby preparing students for the challenges of the labor market. This revolutionalizes the school environment, facilitates teaching by providing resourceful teaching aids for teachers and connects the school to the outside world. Trucano (2005) ascertained that technology empowers teachers and learners and promotes the growth of skills necessary for the 21st century workplace. Wright, Stanford, and Beedle (2007) describe ICTs as giving opportunities for students to explore, discover, create, communicate effectively and freely with instructors, complete and receive assignments and feedback online, initiate and participate in online discussions.

Both lecturers and students in the study of discourse in this article agree on the significant impact ICT has on students and their learning and on teaching and teaching styles. Among the impacts of ICT in teaching and learning reported were; learning aid and resourcefulness, comfort with ICT, psychoanalytical and psychosocial aid, task enabler, interdependence with ICT and learning collaboration.

Spector (2008) advocates how student collaboration is achieved through technology-mediated communication such as e-mail and teleconferencing across space and time in local and wider communities. Kozma (Kozma, 2003; Kozma & Mc Gee, 2003) illustrated a student learning approach in which students collaborate with their peers in given projects. He named this approach the Student Collaborative Research Cluster. These classroom practices support the development of skills needed by a society focused on sustained economic development and social transformation: information management skills, communication and collaboration skills, interpersonal and self-directional skills, and ability to create and innovatively apply new knowledge to solve complex problems. Similarly, King (2005) and Simonson, Smaldino, Albright, and Zvacek (2003) ascertained that ICTs foster collaborative learning.
Considering teachers’ professional development cannot be achieved in isolation, Kozma (2003) also exemplified how teachers collaborated with students, colleagues in the school and others outside the school such that ideas on solving classroom problems could be shared and disseminated. Collaboration among lecturers as a benefit of ICT use in teaching is also found in Abolade and Yusuf (2005); they found that ICT allows for networking with other teachers, thus connecting teachers and allowing them to exchange ideas, share resources, and improve teaching practices.

The psychoanalytical and psychosocial impacts described in both lecturers and students’ findings in this study have a backing in the work of Lajbcyier and Spratt (2007). They argue that the social presence develop critical thinking and cognitive skills and promote higher order learning in a community of learners. Some of these impacts of ICT in teaching and learning such as interaction and social negotiation of meaning were also affirmed in Madden, Baptista Nunes, McPherson, Ford, and Miller (2008).

Other impacts in the findings such as learning aid and resourcefulness, task enabler, comfort with ICT are supported in Abolade and Yusuf (2005) having described ICT as essential tools in any educational system which has the potential of being used to meet the learning needs of individual students, promote equality of educational opportunities; offer high quality learning materials, increase self-efficacy and independence of learning among students, and improve teachers’ professional development. They also affirmed that ICT provides opportunity for connecting schools to the world, as learning is expanded beyond the classroom; that allows students and teachers to access information and resources.

Issues in Evaluating Technology Impact in Teaching and Learning

Evaluating technology impact in teaching and learning requires a broad range of issues which are often undermined when ICT impact researches are being carried out. Thus, the task in this part of the article is to carefully consider some of them and make recommendations for further researches on technology impact in teaching and learning. The impact of ICT in education is often difficult to establish especially when other factors that can affect achievement are isolated.

Critical to evaluating ICT impact in teaching and learning are issues such as: the environment in which teaching and learning will take place, the status of technology integration in the learning environment, the students’ and teachers’ technology proficiencies, their disposition towards technology, access to technology and training facilities, teachers’ teaching methodology, and students’ learning approach. Researchers must focus on each of these issues to determine if the adoption of technology in teaching and learning produces the desired and maximum impact or otherwise what factors hinder realization of expected outcomes (Adedokun-Shittu & Shittu, 2011; Newby, Stepich, Lehman, Russell, & Leftwich, 2011).

The study under discourse in this article finds that technology impact in teaching and learning can be generated through examining teachers’ and students’ perception of technology use in teaching and learning, assessing their level of technology integration, determining the motivating factors that propel them and ascertaining the challenges that restrain them. This is supported by the suggestions given by Kankaanranta (2005) on technology evaluation in schools such as justifying investment returns by examining if the desired impacts are achieved, assessing technology infusion in the curriculum to analyze whether the intended curriculum is implemented and ultimately attained and determining if the pedagogical uses of ICT emphasize how it is employed in the class by the teachers and how it is received by the students.

Some of the perception of technology in teaching and learning held by the lecturers and students in this study include; technology changes the nature of student/lecturer interaction, improves higher-order and critical thinking, improves quality education, transforms the learning environment into a learner-centered one, increases students’ motivation and engagement, increases students’ positive effects on learning, enhances students’ assessment and independent learning, reduces both students and lecturers’ burden, facilitates learning and enhances performance. It is also seen as a tool for increased access to resourceful information, improved research output, resource sharing and student/lecturer collaboration (Adedokun-Shittu, Shittu & Adeyemo, 2013; Jimoh, Shittu & Kawu, 2012).

Evaluating both lecturers’ and students’ perception in this context has thus confirmed that they believe technology has positive effects on their teaching and learning. Researchers (e.g., Adedokun-Shittu et al., 2013; Kozma, 2005; McNamara, 2003; UNESCO, 2002) have also found ICT as a way to promote educational change, improve learners’ skills and prepare them for the global economy and the information society. However, these positive effects do not magically occur without proper policy considerations on how to integrate technology in the learning environment. As such, it is practically crucial to establish how technology is implemented in the learning institution, while conducting technology impact studies (Adedokun-Shittu & Shittu, 2011).

As mentioned above, the second factor identified in this study is integration. Among the technology integration aspects evaluated in this study are technology use in classroom teaching and learning, its alignment with the curriculum
and students’ assessment and its blend with the existing traditional teaching and learning practices. It was found that technology integration in teaching and learning across these dimensions has not fully materialized in this university. The regression analysis employed reveals that integration has a negative correlation (-.112) with the value of technology in this learning environment. This implies that the level of technology integration in the university is still at an unprecedented level such that its value could not be attached to established technology impact in teaching and learning. This is in support of Adegun’s (2007) finding that technology integration in Nigerian education is still at a rudimentary stage. As a form of recommendation, Kozma (2005) suggests some policy considerations for ICT integration in education that can help in generating the desired outcome. He recommends that the technology plan should describe how technology will be coordinated with changes in curriculum, pedagogy, assessment, teacher professional development and school restructuring.

Following the integration issue is the question on what incentives could motivate teachers and students to effectively integrate technology to maximize its impact. The motivating factors examined in this study include: training especially for teachers, adequate access to technology facilities, ease of use of the facilities and compatibility with teaching and learning needs, relative advantage and usefulness of technology in teaching and learning. Both the students and lecturers in this study possess an average ICT skill essential for their appreciation of ICT deployment in the university and a judicious use of limited ICT facilities provided. They also acknowledge a substantial engagement with ICT for academic purposes though with varying degree based on the faculty, department, lecturers’ teaching style and course requirement. They have also received some forms of training though insufficient for the desired integration.

The World Bank (2003) demands that teachers need to be lifelong learners to keep abreast of new knowledge, pedagogical ideas and technology relevant to successful implementation of educational reforms. Similarly, Haddad (2003) affirms developmental training is required for teachers for successful technological reforms in schools. Thus, training and mentoring on how to utilize and infuse technology in pedagogy and curriculum is essential for teachers. With respect to this, Kozma and McGhee (2003) suggest that teachers should collaborate with students, colleagues in the school and others outside the school on how classroom problems can be solved such that it will serve as mentoring and motivation for them.

Access to the desired content through ICT facilities can also enhance the quality of students’ learning since this will provide both the students and the teachers with access to curricular materials and other resources. Haddad (2003) notes that ICT is relevant in the teachers’ professional development; it is also a source of knowledge given that they require a large, rich, and easily accessible-knowledge base. Voogt and Pelgrum (2005) and Oloolube, Eke, Uzorka, Ekpennyong, and Nte (2009) also assert that access to ICT can be used to improve the delivery and access to quality education.

Robinson (2007) and Adedokun-Shittu et al. (2013) however declare that access to technology alone does not guarantee integration and technology alone will not guarantee students’ learning. Thus, bearing this in mind Robinson (2007) suggests that technology integration be understood as an integral component of a more comprehensive package of education reform which will include curriculum, assessment, instruction and other practices within the context of the entire school. He further asserted that integration is unlikely to happen where access is restricted to specific classrooms; thus technology integration requires provision of ample access to all and addressing individual actual needs and perceptual barriers.

This leads to the last factor vital to technology impact evaluation reported in this study. Investigating the challenges confronting teachers and learners in their engagement with technology in teaching and learning is another essential factor to evaluate in ICT impact studies. Software, accessibility and technical problems and constraints such as power failure, internet interruption, and inadequate training were some of the challenges uncovered in this study. These are all consistent with the description of Ajayi (2002) in Adedokun-Shittu (2012) stating that the status of ICT use in higher institutions in Nigeria is characterized by poor availability and quality of infrastructure, inadequate institutional capacity, inadequate human resource capacity, low bandwidth of connectivity, and poor penetration of ICT into higher institutions. Abolade and Yusuf (2005) also supported this by highlighting as part of the factors mitigating against effective ICT integration in Nigerian higher education to include lack of technically experienced lecturers, inadequate course content for ICT training, limited ICT facilities and infrastructure and electricity supply problems. Wright et al. (2007) also identified access and connection speed as challenges confronting higher education with the increasing demand for ICTs in teaching and learning. Other challenges as given by Jimoh et al. (2012) are: lack of access to computers and software, insufficient time to plan instruction, inadequate technical and administrative support.
CONCLUSION

This article identified some of the issues fundamental to evaluating impacts of technology in teaching and learning such as; teachers and students' views, technology needs, and proficiencies, technology integration levels in learning institutions, incentives such as adequate access and training and the imminent challenges of technology in teaching and learning. It is also recommended that studies evaluating technology impact should employ a combination of quantitative and qualitative approaches such that each can compensate for the weakness of the other, thereby given a detailed and credible result. Some of the impacts of ICTs in teaching and learning reported in this article are: ICTs as learning aid and resourcefulness, ICTs as psychoanalytical and psychosocial aid, ICTs as task enabler, comfort with ICT, interdependence with ICT and learning collaboration.
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